



# Keweenaw

AREA COMMUNITY FOUNDATION

For good. For ever.®

**Keweenaw Area Community Foundation**

**Granting Rubric**

**Project Reviewer:**

**Date:**

**Project Name and Number:**

<b>Project</b>	<b>1 = Poor</b>	<b>2 = Average</b>	<b>3 = Excellent</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Description</b>	Unclear description or lacks planning and research	Description is general, might need some further clarity/planning, but it is still a good idea	Description is clear with strong evidence and careful planning, thought and research	
<b>Goals</b>	Poorly identified goals and no measurable objectives stated	Goals are identified with measurable objective(s) but do not appear tightly aligned with project objective or appear obtainable based on project description	Strong goals with objectively measurable goals which are in line with project description and appear obtainable based on project description	
<b>Differentiation</b>	Project is a duplication of existing projects without an attempt to collaborate	Project has some difference from existing projects: A. If so, they have attempted collaboration with existing project B. If not, they are explaining their differentiation from existing and rationale for not collaborating	1. Project has clear differentiation from what is currently available OR 2. If similar to existing projects, has demonstrated clear plan for collaboration or provided very clear reasoning why collaboration is not occurring	
<b>Staff</b>	Unclear that staff/organization have expertise and resources to complete project	Staff/Organization appear to have qualification to carry out project; some clarity is provided that they have the resources and support of the organization to complete project	Very clear deliniation of staff responsibilities and capabilities to carry out project; clear that organization has the support and resources needed to successfully complete project	

<b>Sustainability</b>	No clear plan or thought for sustainability beyond current grant	Plan for sustainability is general, but might need further thought and planning	Clear plan for sustainability of project after this grant	
<b>Evaluation</b>	No clear plan for documenting and evaluation of the project	Plan for documenting and evaluating project is general, and might need further clarity and thought	Very clear plan for documentation and evaluation of the project	
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	Grant seems like an acceptable financial investment, providing some benefit for the cost	Grant seems like a solid financial investment, providing good benefit for the cost	Grant seems like an excellent financial investment providing great benefit for cost	
<b>Alignment</b>	Some alignment with donors wishes/KCF mission and vision	Moderate alignment with donors wishes/KCF mission and vision	Strong alignment with donors wishes/KCF mission and vision	
<b>Total</b>				

**Please indicate below the statement that best describes your recommendation or this grant application:**

- I would definitely recommend funding this project.
- I would recommend partially funding this project. I suggest the following amount: \$\_\_\_\_\_.
- I would not recommend funding this project.

**Comments:**